



### Superior GIS Staff (2)

- GIS Coordinator
- GIS Technician (Douglas County WLIP Grant Fabric Maintenance 50%)

Douglas County Land Information Office Staff (2)

• Two GIS Technicians

### Additional

- 1 Land Information Officer
- 1 County Surveyor

I GIS Consultant
 I Database Consultant


### **GIS Infrastructure**

### 11 - GIS SERVERS

- 5 are web servers also
- 500+ Data Sets
- 150+ internal users
  - City/County ESRI ELA Licensing
     Agreement Cost savings

City/County GIS Online Site – 50,000 hits per year

 Douglas County COVID Site – 100,000+ hits during its run



# S.

### Enterprise GIS

Departmental Support Training Make the software & data available to the departments for them to access and maintain as needed

Public data requests and downloads

Mapping Websites and apps



### City of Superior/Douglas County

- Joint Cooperation Agreement for GIS
- IT/GIS integrated between City and County

### Cost savings by doing this

Also a strong relationship with St. Louis County and other surrounding counties, the data doesn't stop at the borders





SCOTT WALKER GOVERNOR MIKE HUEBSCH SECRETARY

Wisconsin Land Information Program Post Office Box 8944 Madison, WI 53708-8944 Voice (608) 267-3369 WLIP@wisconsin.gov www.doa.state.wi.us/WLIP

### Act 20 and the Wisconsin Land Information Program

Act 20, the biennial state budget for state fiscal years 2014 and 2015, has wide-ranging implications for the Wisconsin Land Information Program (WLIP). Changes to the WLIP generated by Act 20 fall under five broad categories:

- Initiative to Create a Statewide Digital Parcel Map
- Increase in Base Budget and Training & Education Grant Eligibility
- Increase in Land Information Fund Revenue
- Update Frequency for County Land Information Plans
- Penalties and Deadlines

Some of these changes, such as increased grant eligibility, take effect in the near term, while other measures, such as an increase in Land Information Fund revenue, will be implemented over the course of the next few years.

One of the most novel provisions of Act 20 affecting the WLIP is the directive for DOA to create an implementation plan for a statewide digital parcel map, which will be a collaborative effort with local governments. A complete, accurate, and continuously maintained digital parcel map would protect and grow Wisconsin's \$460 billion in taxable real estate assets, improve governmental services, and enhance the state's economic competitiveness.

### Initiative to Create a Statewide Digital Parcel Map

Section 186.<sup>1</sup> Act 20 directs DOA to create an implementation plan for a statewide parcel map and directs counties to coordinate their digital parcel mapping with the state. The implementation planning process will define the end product envisioned for a five-year process of statewide digital parcel map development—a geographic information system (GIS) that meets end users' business needs, whether at the state or local levels of government, as well as those of the private sector.

<sup>1</sup> SECTION 186. 16.967 (3) (h) of the statutes is created to read:

16.967 (3) (h) Establish an implementation plan for a statewide digital parcel map.

16.967 (7) (a) 2m. In coordination with the department, the creation, maintenance, or updating of a digital parcel map.

SECTION 186k. 16.967 (7) (a) 2m. of the statutes is created to read:


# and Inf

# Douglas County Land Information Plan 2022-2024

### Submitted 12/31/2021

# **Douglas County**

LAND RECORDS MODERNIZATION PLAN 2022 - 2024



Version Date 12/10/2021 Approved/Adopted by Land Information Council on: 12/20/2021

\*\*Wisconsin Land Information Program Wisconsin Department of Administration 101 East Wilson Street, 9th Floor Madison, WI 53703 (608) 267-3369 www.daa.wi.org/WI IP



### Data Submitted

Parcels Zoning General Shoreland Floodplain

PLSS Corners Roads Addresses Hydrography ROW

### **CBAS** Submitted twice a year

- Wards
- Supervisor Districts
  - Annexations

### V7 submittal on March 31, 2020

I Statewi

 $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ 

1

iati

Version 7 Statewide Parcel Map Database Project OBSERVATION REPORT Douglas County

|   | ACTION REQUIRED TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA  |
|---|---|
| PARCEL FEATURE CLASS  | S   |
| VITH TAX ROLL DATA  |   |
|   |   |
| ✓ PARCELDATE  |   |
| TAXROLLYEAR   |   |
| OWNERNME1   | • OWNERNME1: See comment below in AUXCLASS regarding owner names for government-owned tax exempt lands.   |
| V OWNERNME2   |   |
| * PSTLADRESS  | <ul> <li>PSTLADRESS: Approximately 4 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as ',<br/>SUPERIOR, WI 54880: 'Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mail<br/>address in the PSTLADRESS field, or enter &lt; Nulls for parcels without a "complete" mailing address.</li> </ul>  |
| V SITEADRESS  |   |
| ADDNUMPREFIX  |   |
| ADDNUM  |   |
| ADDNUMSUFFIX  |   |
| PREFIX – with standardized domains  |   |
| STREETNAME  |   |
| STREETTYPE – with standardized domains  |   |
| SUFFIX – with standardized domains  |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
| PLACENAME     ZIPCODE   |   |
| ZIPCODE   |   |
| ZIP4  |   |
| STATE SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains  |   |
|   |   |
| SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains CNTASSDVALUE   |   |
| <pre>/ LNDVALUE</pre>   |   |
| / IMPVALUE  |   |
| MEVALUE   |   |
|   |   |
| NETPRPTA  |   |
| GRSPRPTA  |   |
| <ul> <li>PROPCLASS – with standardized domains</li> <li>AUXCLASS – with standardized domains</li> </ul>   | PROPCLASS: Some records with PROPCLASS = 3 (Manufacturing) were either "0" or missing values in the xxx oll-specific fields, such as ChrASSDVALUE, IMPXAUE and LANDVALUE. These values save mot oridicate a problem with the data exp<br>This could be because the county opopulated these attributes with the 2021 work roll values instead of the QCS entrand the Values, as the initial version of the GCS extract module was mistakenly programmed to do. Check your values in tax roll fields—perhaps with RPL—and ensure accuracy of values for future data submissions.<br>AUXCLASS: Per schema spece, for publicly owned parcels (AUXCLASS = XX-A), the same owner should be designated the same way if they own multiple parcels (e.g., not "STATE OF WISCONSIN DRR, "which all occur in the county. |
|   | same way it free own multiple parces (e.g., not starte or wits Obsin (DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL RESOURCES),<br>"WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES" and "STATE OF WISCONSIN DNR," which all occur in the county<br>dataset). In other words, standardize owner names for public parcels to the extent possible/permissible by recording<br>document policy.   |
| ASSDACRES   | ocontent poney.   |
| DEEDACRES   |   |
| GISACRES  |   |
| CONAME – with standardized domains  |   |
| PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains  |   |
| PARCELSRC – with standardized domains   |   |
| <ul> <li>Projection met statewide schema</li> </ul>   |   |
| <ul> <li>County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps)</li> <li>Condo modeling met statewide schema</li> </ul>                                |   |
| <b>OTHER LAYERS – PLSS</b>  |   |
| PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted   |   |
| OTHER LAYERS - RML  |   |
| Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK  | (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)   |
| Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK     Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK     Other Lawrence with standardized file same | (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)     (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)   |
| Other Layers - with standardized file names     SUBMISSION FORM &     PACKAGE   |   |
| Provided .ini submission form + data  |   |
| MISCELLANEOUS   |   |
| <ul> <li>Thanks for your work to prepare your submission. You</li> </ul>  | describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable<br>b, you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1-V6 Observation Reports—and ensure that<br>is is welcome to DOA/SCO at any time.<br>can read user testimonials in the forthcoming V7. <i>Final</i> , <i>Report</i> and the <u>V6. <i>Final</i>, <i>Report</i></u> . There are a lot of happy end-users<br>to make the county's data available in a standardized format!  |

08/06/2021 | Douglas | Page 1 of 1

G 2  $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 

### **Parcel Editing Process**

• Currently every 24 hours parcels Ownership is updated and replicated from GCS, Parcels are updated in groups of edits once every week

2022 - 8284 Edits 2021 - 6337 Edits 2020 - 7541 Edits 2019 - 6875 Edits 2018 - 3725 Edits

Ownership data and parcels are the most critical data the City and County Maintain. It is used by all departments for Various purposes.

Yellow = Before Adjustment Red = After Adjustment





# V9 Benchmark Status for PLSS Corner Integration Linegration

INAL 2021-12-13

### PLSS

### **Public Land Survey System Monuments**

Layer Status

PLSS Layer Status

Status/Comments


| Number of PLSS corners (selection, 1/4, meander) set in  | • 4393   |
|--|--|
| original government survey that can be   |  |
| remonumented in your Douglas County  |  |
| Number of PLSS corners capable of being  | 2671   |
| remonumented in your county that have been   |  |
| remonumented   |  |
| Number of remonumented PLSS corners with survey  | 2407, (Survey Grade)   |
| grade coordinates (see below for definition) <ul> <li>SURVEY GRADE – coordinates collected under the</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>31, (Sub-meter)</li> </ul>                              |
| <ul> <li>SORVET GRADE – coordinates collected under the<br/>direction of a Professional Land Surveyor, in a</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>233, (Approximate)</li> </ul>                           |
| coordinate system allowed by 236.18(2), and  |  |
| obtained by means, methods and equipment   |  |
| capable of repeatable 2 centimeter or better   |  |
| precision  |  |
| • SUB-METER – point precision of 1 meter or better   |  |
| <ul> <li>APPROXIMATE – point precision within 5 meters</li> </ul>  |  |
| or coordinates derived from public records or  |  |
| other relevant information   |  |
| Number of survey grade PLSS corner coordinates   | 1185   |
| integrated into county digital parcel layer  |  |
| Number of non-survey grade PLSS corner coordinates   | 945  |
| integrated into county digital parcel layer  |  |
| Tie sheets available online?   | YES  |
|  | https://douglascowi.wgxtreme.com/                                |
| Percentage of remonumented PLSS corners that have  |  |
| tie sheets available online (whether or not they have  | 100% (2671)  |
| corresponding coordinate values)   |  |
| Percentage of remonumented PLSS corners that have  |  |
| tie sheets available online (whether or not they have  | 100% (2671)  |
| corresponding coordinate values) and a corresponding   |  |
| URL path/hyperlink value in the PLSS geodatabase   |  |
| PLSS corners believed to be remonumented based on  | • 662  |
| filed tie-sheets or surveys, but do not have coordinate  |  |
| values   |  |
| Approximate number of PLSS corners believed to be<br>lost or obliterated   | • 1678   |
|  |  |
| Which system(s) for <b>corner point identification/</b><br><b>numbering</b> does the county employ (e.g., the RomportI   |  |
| point numbering system known as Wisconsin Corner   | Romportl Point Numbering System                                  |
| Point Identification System, the BLM Point ID Standard,  | Komporti Point Numbering System                                  |
| or other corner point ID system)?  |  |
| Does the county contain any <b>non-PLSS areas</b> (e.g., river   | * NO   |
| frontage long lots, French land claims, private claims,  |  |
| farm lots, French long lots, etc.) or any special situations   |  |
| regarding PLSS data for tribal lands?  |  |
| Total number of PLSS corners along each bordering  | Burnett = 30 Washburn= 53 Bayfield= 87 WI/MN State Line= 72      |
| county   |  |
| Number of PLSS corners remonumented along each   | Burnett = 30 Washburn= 53 Bayfield= 87 WI/MN State Line= 72      |
| county boundary  |  |
|  |  |
| Number of remonumented PLSS corners along each   | Burnett = 30 Washburn= 53 Bayfield= 87 WI/MN State Line= 72      |
|  | Burnett = 30 Washburn= 53 Bayfield= 87 WI/MN State Line= 72      |
| Number of remonumented PLSS corners along each<br>county boundary with survey grade coordinates  |  |
| Number of remonumented PLSS corners along each county boundary with survey grade coordinates   |  |
| Number of remonumented PLSS corners along each<br>county boundary with survey grade coordinates<br>In what ways does your county collaborate with or plan  | Burnett = 30 (100%) Washburn= 53 (100%) Bayfield= 52 (60%) WI/MN |
| Number of remonumented PLSS corners along each<br>county boundary with survey grade coordinates<br>In what ways does your county collaborate with or plan<br>to collaborate with neighboring counties for PLSS | Burnett = 30 (100%) Washburn= 53 (100%) Bayfield= 52 (60%) WI/MN |



# Pocuments Inventory Survey

### Georeferenced Survey Documents Inventory

5314 Total Documents ALTA = 51 Certified Survey Maps = 1604 Site Plans = 226 Maps of Survey = 3382 Plats = 48





NextGen911(NG911) is a Federally required upgrade to the CAD/911 systems throughout the nation. Wisconsin is developing standards and requirements for County systems to meet. NG911is a fully GIS integrated system with stringent requirements that must be met.

### Douglas County NG911 Activities include:

- CAD911/GIS data assessment in 2020
- Budgeting for GIS staff assistance
- Continued monitoring of the State's NG911 recommendations and needed response
- Implementation of GIS data changes as required by the State and Federal governments

### Challenges for LIO in NG911

- Address system used by Douglas County
- Migration of current data to required schema
- Future maintenance needs and costs



## **City/County Aerial Photos**

2023 – Pictometry (Coming Soon) 2022 - Pictometry 2019 - Pictometry 2016 - Pictometry 2013 - Pictometry 2009 - Pictometry 2006 1999 1986 1973 1962 1958 – Coming Soon 1948 1938

# What is Pictometry?

**Pictometry** - has been described as "geometry on images" but that description only captures one aspect of Pictometry.

Pictometry technologies are widely used by county GIS, planning and assessing professionals around the country and a growing number of commercial businesses including those in insurance, utilities, real estate, construction, and more.

Unlike traditional geospatial information systems that rely on only an **orthogonal**, or top-down view of an area, Pictometry captures images **obliquely**, or from an angle, and create a more natural three-dimensional view so that users can see land features and structures clearly and in their entirety.







# **Why Pictometry?**

### **Pictometry (now Eagleview) is based out of Rochester New York**

- Why Rochester RIT
- Eastman/Kodak
- Students developed the Technology







### Why Not Google or satelittes?

- Control of the data
- Control of the dates
- Resolution and Obliques
- Cost, \$8317/year
- Timeliness of Access to Photos
- What about Drones?


# TradiObhqu@ithegmage




Providence, Rhode Island



Providence, Rhode Island







# Methodology

Produces Color, Digital Imagery
Natural Perspective in Height & Scale
Accurate Measurements can be Obtained
Overlay GIS Data on Imagery
Obliques and Orthos in One Flight!
Quick Delivery of Photos

The City/County LIO currently has nearly all it's GIS data available for download (free)it's been in place since 2010

http://www.ci.superior.wi.us/474/Geospatial-Data-GIS

### Elevation Imagery Other Geospatial Data Areas Transportation & Addressing 2013 County Orthos High Resolution 2016 County Orthos High Resolution Color Infrared Orthos 2016 Douglas County LIDAR LAS Points (RAW DATA) Only 2016 Douglas County LIDAR Contours by Township 2019 City of Superior LIDAR Data

Home + Departments + Geographic Information System (GIS) + Geospatial Data (GIS)

### Geospatial Data (GIS)

### **About Geospatial Data**

The City of Superior/Douglas County prepares and uses this data for its own purposes. This data displays general boundaries and may not be appropriate for site specific uses. The City/County uses data believed to be accurate; however, a degree of error is inherent in all maps. This data is distributed "AS-IS" without warrantees of any kind, either expressed or implied including, but not limited to, warranties of suitability to a particular purpose use. Detailed on-the-ground surveys and historical analysis of sites may differ substantially from this data. The user of the provided information may find it desirable and beneficial to consult other sources and engage professionals to analyze, and provide advice relating to, the information made available by the City/County and other data sources.

You may download the ESRI ArcExplorer free of charge.

Douglas County Coordinate System Explanation and Projection Download

Web Services/Apps

Enterprise GIS Portal

Enterprise Images Portal

ArcMap Image Service Layers




# City/County Portal Servers in 2020-2022

- City/County COSDC Enterprise Server
  - https://portal.superiordcgis.org/portal



Currently working on permissions and training for 2023



### City Poll Site Lookup

https://portal.superiordcgis.org/portal/apps/ webappviewer/index.html?id=b2eeeb1a4312 4ffbb0e0db2ad2a3f65b

Views = 3200





# **City/County Map Services**

https://portal.superiordcgis.org/portal/apps/we bappviewer/index.html?id=cccdec273dc342828 351bc3bca1e49ee



# 

# City/County ArcGIS Online & Portal

Total of ~1000 Items Apps = 50 Dashboard = 40 Map Services = 200 Data Layers = 350 Image Services = 70



\$ # ፼ / ? @ @ ↓ !? 8 @

# **Current Projects**

- 6 GIS Server migrations
  - 3 in 2022
  - 3 in 2023
- Mobile GIS Implementation and Roll out
- GIS Training for users
- NextGen 911 Migration & Compliance
- Survey Documents App and Management System
- ADC to Schneider Mapping Site Change
- Connect Superior
- Ongoing Support for the departments and public users


# **GIS Resources**

• ADC Site

UTCes

losf;

- Superior Land Information App
- Polling Site Lookup
- City/County GIS Downloads
- Survey Site



# Any Questions?